



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/923,369	09/03/1997	SHIGEAKI KOIKE	SONY-C5757	2545
29175	7590 07/01/2005		EXAM	INER
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC			BOCCIO, VINCENT F	
P. O. BOX 1135 CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2616	
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/01/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	08/923,369	KOIKE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vincent F. Boccio	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fraction to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Rep	marks of 2/24/05.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 31-36 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 31-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applic iority documents have been rece au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No. <u>08/563,188</u> . ived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

The Group and/or Art Unit location of your application in the PTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Group Art Unit 2616.

Double Patenting

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

2. Claims 31, 32, 33, 34, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,888,998. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claims 31, 32, 33, 34, and 36 against claims 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, after a careful analysis the examiner cannot declare a significant different enough to declare by identifying a scope different between the claims to be an obvious variant.

Applicant is requested to either point out with respect to differences in claim language in claim 31, or to amend to a limitation not existing to render an obvious variant to allow a terminal disclaimer to be effective.

Wherein claim 8 is 6,888,998, also an apparatus claim further claims a housing, not claimed in claims 1-, therefore is an obvious variant, the examiner suggests amending to a limitation not recited in patented claims 1-9.

Art Unit: 2616

The primary examiner offers assistance to discuss this issue.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claim 35 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,888,998. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because.

Claim 35 recited all as recited in claim 5, but, claim 5 also has recited in it the limitations of 3 & 4, in view of the dependency, therefore the limitations as recited in claims 3-4, are not met by claim 5, but, the limitations of claims 3-4, buffering input and output with respect to remaining capacities and buffering and stopping reproduction upon a predetermined amount, the examiner takes official notice are well known and obvious to recite in claim 35, as is obvious to those skilled in the art.

Allowance of claim 35 of the instant application would result in a time-wise extension of the monopoly previously granted for the invention defined by patent claim 5, therefore, obviousness type double patenting is deemed proper.

Application/Control Number: 08/923,369

Page 4

Art Unit: 2616

Contact Fax Information

Any response to this action should be faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for communication intended for entry)

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to the examiner of record, Monday-Tuesday & Thursday-Friday, 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM Vincent F. Boccio (571) 272-7373.

Primary Examiner, Boccio, Vincent 6/27/05

VINCENT BOCCIU
PRIMARY EXAMINER